

July 7, 2021

Page 1 of 21

STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF HENRY

The Henry County Board of Commissioners held a Regular Public Meeting ***in-person*** on Wednesday, July 7, 2021, 9:00 a.m., at the Henry County Administration Building (Community Room), 140 Henry Parkway, McDonough GA. HenryTV also streamed this live online at its website, as well as Charter/Spectrum Channel 180 and Facebook Live.

Carlotta Harrell, Chair
Johnny Wilson, District I Commissioner
Dee Clemmons, District II Commissioner
Greg Cannon, District III Commissioner
Vivian Thomas, District IV Commissioner
Bruce Holmes, District V Commissioner

Also in attendance: Cheri Hobson-Matthews, Patrick Jaugstetter, County Attorney; Stephanie Braun, County Clerk; and Nathifa Cunningham, Deputy County Clerk

INVOCATION

Don Ash, E-911/EMA Director led the invocation.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge was recited.

CALL TO ORDER

Carlotta Harrell, Chair, called the Regular Meeting of the Henry County Board of Commissioners for Wednesday, July 7, 2021 to order.

PRESENTATIONS

Henry County Employee Retirement Recognition

Harold Cooper, Human Resources Director, announced the retirement of the following Henry County employees:

- *John Harper* - Henry County Sheriff's Office - 29 years
- *Mark Henley* - Henry County EMT/Fire - 29 years
- *Kevin Wallace* - Henry County Sheriff's Office - 12 years
- *Gary Sowell* - Henry County Sheriff's Office - 12 years
- *James Joyce* - Henry County Parks & Recreation - 11 years

Impact Fee Study Update

Stacey Jordan-Rudeseal, Chief Planner, introduced Bill Ross, the consultant who put the update together.

Bill Ross gave an update on the study and noted that the Methodology Report has been completed for information purposes and presented to the Board. This report would calculate the maximum impact fee that can be charged; what is actually charged is at the county's discretion. While the law places a maximum, the county can base its impact fees based upon new growth, new development and comparative fees and tax bases around surrounding counties. He presented a chart which outlined future growth over the next 20 years and followed with the impact fee study elements. Since there have been changes and update is needed, once a draft ordinance is ready, there will be two (2) Public Hearings held in order to adopt the ordinance, in accordance with impact fee law. He then pulled out a schedule (attached in the website and exhibit) of a comparison of impact fee examples for the Board and gave some details on some items listed. When payment upon these impact fees cannot be made, for whatever the reason, and there are no exemptions, often times there will be options to satisfy that fee and Mr. Ross went over a couple of examples of those as well as the types of exemptions and the public policy which supports them.

Dee Clemmons, District II Commissioner expressed concern over the methodology for county's comparison with other counties and does not find it fair, especially comparison with Spalding and Rockdale Counties. She would like to have a better comparison to determine impact fees. She does find this particular comparison chart accurate and would like to have it re-examined. The District II Commissioner further referenced that cities like Sandy Springs will do things that counties do not, such as preparing for affordable housing and having more high-density impact; she finds this to be a bad comparison to Henry County. While Forsyth County may be lower, they have a better economic development community.

Vivian Thomas, District IV Commissioner asked if there will be an internal "point person" working with Mr. Ross to ensure that the information is in line with the county. **Cheri Hobson-Matthews, County Manager** responded that the individuals will be Mr. Kirk and Mr. Jordan-Rudeseal as points of contact with Mr. Ross. **Mr. Ross** added that Mr. Jordan-Rudeseal and he had several discussions regarding the comparison of the impact fee program to the transportation plan and other plans currently in process.

There were no further questions or comments. *No action was taken.*

Community Development Block Grant CARES (CDBG-CV1) Expenditures Update

Raven Bodine, Community Development, Planner I presented the update for the Board. Referring to the Executive Summary, she gave a breakdown of the expenditures to the Board to the different recipients with their purposes for the funding. One thing that was changed due to the pandemic for Hands of Hope Clinic is for the dental assistance which was pulled back for a few months.

Commissioner Thomas asked if these agencies are sending in expenditure reports on a monthly basis and how the accountability is monitored; **Ms. Bodine** responded that the application process was done to identify which agencies that would be funded. These agencies would have to sign an agreement to submit a quarterly report which details the number of people they are assisting, their demographic and their expenditures along with reimbursements received. These are checked and analyzed for allowable expenses before they receive payment and at the close of the contract, there is a total year-end report submitted.

There were no further questions or comments. ***No action was taken.***

Financial Services Update

David Smith, Director of Financial Services presented the financial report for the last eleven (11) months and primarily SPLOST. He first gave a brief overview of the COVID-19 response for the Board. Turning to the revenues, the year-to-date total revenues received is \$192.8 million, with only about 89% expended. The increase is primarily driven by the coronavirus relief fund reimbursement, higher property tax collections, higher loss collections and motor vehicle ad valorem collections and the new “red speed” (primarily for Police services). SPLOST collections are averaging \$3.9 million per month. The schedule of revenue and expense as of May 2021 was also presented for the Board, with improvements noted by Mr. Smith for the Board.

Mr. Smith then presented the year-over-year comparison as well as the graphic representation for the Board. For SPLOST collections, he noted that they are trending better than projected, currently at \$50.4 million. Regarding the update on Cotton Fields Golf Course, the expenditures exceed its revenues year-to-date by \$12,000. He noted that despite this, the golf course is not a burden upon the General Fund.

Commissioner Clemmons remarked that there are several Enterprise funds in the budget, such as Airport, Nash Farm and others. She asked also about the balance owed on Nash Farm and Cotton Fields, since that debt payment was excluded on the presentation. **Mr. Smith** responded that the Nash Farm debt will be included in his next presentation. The debt on Cotton Fields will be paid off in 2033. The SPLOST debt will be paid off in 2025 and the Nash Farm debt will be paid off in 2023. The District II Commissioner asked that the balances be included in the next presentation as well.

There were no further questions or comments. ***No action was taken.***

CONSENT AGENDA

Ms. Hobson-Matthews presented the Consent Agenda as follows:

Emergency Management Agency

- A. Resolution approving renewal of the L3Harris Radio System Maintenance Agreement in the amount of \$80,000 for fiscal year 2021/2022. (Presenter: Don Ash, E911/EMA Director)(Exhibit 1) *Resolution 21-200*
- B. Resolution of the Board of Commissioners declaring property surplus and approving disposal of various unusable office furniture and equipment. (Presenter: Don Ash, E911/EMA Director)(Exhibit 2) *Resolution 21-201*

Sheriff's Office

- A. Resolution of the Board of Commissioners approving the acceptance of an equipment award grant valued at \$91,956.00 from the Criminal Justice Coordinating Council (CJCC) (Presenter: Capt. Marc Johnson, HCSO) (Exhibit 3) *Resolution 21-202*

Fire Department

- A. Resolution Accepting Grant from The Georgia Trauma Commission in the amount of \$14,943 to purchase Trauma Equipment and Emergency Medical Supplies for Henry County Fire Department (Presenter: Deputy Chief Jonathan Burnette)(Exhibit 4) *Resolution 21-203*

Police Department

- A. Resolution to approve a three (3) year agreement between ICU Technologies/Fusus Real Time Crime Center software program and Henry County Police Department at a yearly cost of \$95,717.88 / total \$287,153.64 (3 years) using RedSpeed funds (Presenter: Major Casey McGlamery, HCPD)(Exhibit 5) *Resolution 21-204*
- B. Resolution of the Board of Commissioners to adopt the By-laws established by the Citizens Policy Advisory Committee (C-PAC) required by Resolution 20-142 (Presenter: Jimmy Clowdus, CPAC Committee Member)(Exhibit 6) *Resolution 21-205*

Risk Management

- A. Resolution accepting a grant from the Association County Commissioners of Georgia (ACCG) in the amount of \$10,000 for safety items in efforts to reduce workers' compensation claims for the Henry County Risk and Safety Management Department (Presenter: Holly LaFontaine, Risk Manager)(Exhibit 7) *Resolution 21-206*

Stormwater

- A. Resolution of the Board of Commissioners approving the Henry County Water Authority utility relocation cost for Scarborough Road. The estimated cost to relocate Henry County Water Authority's facilities is \$13,213.73; Stormwater covers 50% of the relocation cost, with the estimated Henry County Stormwater share cost being \$6,606.87. (Presenter: Alex Mohajer, Stormwater Director)(Exhibit 8) *Resolution 21-207*
- B. Resolution approving a three-year lease/purchase of three (3) Sweeper vehicles to Tymco, Inc. with a three-year period maintenance plan. First year payment includes the pre-paid maintenance and will be \$ 271,205.37. The second and third year payments are both \$190,499.37, where the total cost of lease/purchase for the three (3) sweepers and maintenance for 3 years is \$652,204.11. (Presenter: Alex Mohajer, Stormwater Director)(Exhibit 9) *Resolution 21-208*

Leisure Services

- A. Resolution to award RFQ Sourcewell Contract to USA SHADE and Fabric Structures for the purchase of a shade structure to cover outdoor workout equipment at Village Park in the amount of \$40,746.00 using funds from the Capital Improvement Plan (Presenter: Jonathon Penn, Cluster Lead - Leisure & Public Services) (Exhibit 10) *Resolution 21-209*

Courts

- A. Resolution approving the expenditure of Drug Abuse Treatment and Education Funds (D.A.T.E.) in the amount of \$95,000 for the purpose of supporting the Henry County Misdemeanor DUI/Drug Court (Presenter: Hon. David B. Brown, State Court Judge; and Lisa King, DUI/Drug Court Coordinator)(Exhibit 11) *Resolution 21-210*

Community Development Block Grant

- A. Resolution to Amend Signer for Georgia Department of Community Affairs' (DCA) Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG-CV) Drawdowns (Presenter: Shannan Sagnot, Community Development Director) (Exhibit 12) *Resolution 21-211*

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)

- A. Resolution approving the award of Bid Number 21-72 to K-Team Engineering & Construction, Inc. to provide General Contractor services for two (2) four-unit, multi-family apartment buildings located at 101-104 George St. & 109-112 George St., Hampton, GA 30228 in the amount of \$824,483 for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) (Presenter: Stacey Hudgens Wallace, Planner III)(Exhibit 13) *Resolution 21-212*

SPLOST

- A. Resolution of the Henry County Board of Commissioners approving an Intergovernmental Agreement with City of Locust Grove for cost sharing with respect to improvements to LG-Griffin Road (Presenter: Roque Romero, SPLOST Transportation Director)(Exhibit 14) *Resolution 21-213*
- B. Resolution approving naming County property located at 1935 Panola Road, Ellenwood, Georgia in District 5: "Village United Soccer/Lacrosse Park". (Presenter: Lynn Planchon)(Exhibit 15) *Resolution 21-214*

Transit

- A. Resolution approving Department of Human Services Contract FY2022 for transportation services provided to elderly and disabled individuals in the amount of \$458,954.40 (Presenter; Taleim Salters) (Exhibit 16) *Resolution 21-215*

Human Resources

- A. Resolution approving the proposed amended agreement with Everside Health (formerly known as Healthstat, Inc.). The amendment will allow Henry County Government retirees to utilize the Henry Cares Wellness Center (Presenter: Harold Cooper, HR Director; Mike Gasses, The Michael Gasses Agency; James Ford, Epic; and Brandon King, Everside Health)(Exhibit18) *Resolution 21-217*

****ADDED****

Resolution to approve the use of fireworks for the proposed Bicentennial events plan for picnic in the park on July 30, 2021 at J. P. Moseley Park and the Christmas Tree Lighting event on December 3, 2021 at Nash Farm Park

Commissioner Thomas requested to move the Finance item (Resolution 21-245) to be moved from Consent Agenda to the Regular Agenda. ***So noted and moved***

Commissioner Thomas made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda; **Greg Cannon, District III Commissioner** seconded the motion.

Commissioner Clemmons asked that the tree lighting event be renamed Christmas Tree Lighting and Unity Event. The request was noted by the County Manager.

A motion and second made, the motion carried (6-0-0).

REGULAR AGENDA

FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA*

Finance

- A. Resolution amending Resolution #20-245 authorizing amendment which changes percentage allocation of funds and approving transfer of funds in order to further assist non-profits due to COVID-19 (Presenter: David Smith, Director, Financial Services) (Exhibit 17)

Mr. Smith presented the Resolution for the Board. The current allocation was 40% to the county, 30% to small businesses (up to \$10,000 per small business) and 30% to non-profits. Approximately \$1.6 million remains of the county's allocation. The non-profits were allocated \$2.5 million and they were accorded \$2.6 million. This request would change the existing county allocation to 25%, while 30% remains for small businesses and 45% would go to the non-profits to make additional funds to assist eligible households impacted by COVID-19. A list was provided of the recommended non-profits for this allocation and the amounts.

Commissioner Thomas commented that there is money allocated to certain agencies from the Community Development Block Grant for some of the same purposes as these allocations; she asked that \$150,000 be transferred from Connecting Henry and added to Grace Baptist Church. She also wants a \$50,000 increase allocated from Connecting Henry to Miracle Mission Hope House which serves its community on an ongoing basis. This will not negatively impact any of the recipients involved as they are receiving funding from the CDBG as well.

Commissioner Thomas made a motion to transfer the above-stated allocated funds from Connecting Henry to Grace Baptist Church and Miracle Mission Hope House; in addition, that there is a 15% cap on all administrative services for management of the funds as well.

Mr. Smith responded to the Chair's question that there is a cap on administrative fees whenever a grant is received. **Commissioner Thomas** asked that the cap match the cap that is enforced on the Emergency Rental Assistance Program (ERAP) I currently in place. Mr. Smith stated that the cap on the ERAP is at 10%; when ERAP II comes around, that cap will be at 15%. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** asked the Commissioner if she wishes to take \$50,000 from Connecting Henry and transfer it to Miracle Mission Hope House; the District IV Commissioner clarified that it would be a transfer.

A motion was made by the District IV Commissioner and was seconded by **Commissioner Clemmons**.

Johnny Wilson, District I Commissioner asked if any applications made to Grace Baptist causes it to run out of funds if they can go to Connecting Henry for application; **Mr. Smith** explained that they are linked to all serve eligible applicants for funding assistance. That said the District I Commissioner is not sure how moving this money from Connecting Henry to the two agencies helps anybody; if they run out of funds, they can just go to Connecting Henry and apply there. Mr. Smith explained that the locations are relevant to some applicants being accommodated nearby. **Commissioner Clemmons** added that there are people who do not know about Connecting Henry and sees these locations for accessibility needs being met as well.

Commissioner Cannon asked if the funds are allocated based upon historical data. **Mr. Smith** responded that when this was established, there was no cap on the non-profits and it was based on what they believed they could adequately handle. An award of 30% up front was given to continue their operations and they would submit their reimbursements, the additional 70% was provided of which this will not be part. The award would be for funds they need to continue. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** added that there was a committee who vetted applications and made recommendations to the Board in terms of selecting the non-profits. The District III Commissioner asked if they are all following proper practice with accountability. Mr. Smith responded they are and the County Manager added that the list presented is based on those non-profits that have provided the necessary paperwork and proper procedure at the staff level and are in compliance.

A motion and a second made, the motion was carried (6-0-0).

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

Resolution approving an intergovernmental agreement with the Cities of Stockbridge, McDonough, Locust Grove, and Hampton for a Transportation Special Purpose Local Option Sales Tax (Presenter: Sam Baker, Transportation Planning Director) (Exhibit 19)

Ms. Hobson-Matthews approached and announced that she will present along with Mr. Baker regarding this matter, as multiple emails were sent by the County Clerk from the County

Manager's office at the staff level. The changes were made that were the result of certain errors that did not change the substantive nature of the IGA but were simply corrected and perfected. She asked that Mr. Baker to highlight the changes made since the last presentation from the Workshop Meeting. This will also address concerns made by the District IV Commissioner on the partnerships with respective Cities - specifically, Stockbridge - and that Stockbridge's response was sent last night to the Commissioners which will be presented by Mr. Baker as well. Updated project lists were received from McDonough and Hampton; and a couple of clarifications from Locust Grove were also received. Once Mr. Baker completes the presentation, the Board will be asked to approve the IGA with the project list as presented. That will then be sent to the Cities for their approvals. It will then be brought back to the Board to call for the election at the second meeting in July.

Sam Baker, Director of Transportation Planning began presentation for the Board adding that the project list was received from the City of Stockbridge late last night. The other project lists are up-to-date in the Power Point Presentation; Stockbridge's was presented separately. These were presented and displayed for the Board. During presentation, **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** added that the SR 42 Congestion Relief project (from the District I Commissioner) asking to take \$250,000 out of the resurfacing funds is included. Mr. Baker continued presentation and remarked that the City of McDonough project list was completely revised and that he was advised to ignore their previous project list. In presenting the City of Stockbridge updated project list, Mr. Baker noted two (2) highlighted projects - Rock Quarry Road Widening (\$4M) and Rock Quarry Road Extension (\$2M). Ms. Hobson-Matthews further stated that \$489,982 was added for Resurfacing on Hudson Bridge/Eagles Landing.

Bruce Holmes, District V Commissioner, remarked that the initial thought was that T-SPLOST would be very beneficial to the county, giving the county an opportunity to resurface a number of local roads countywide, several intersection improvements and to give "quality of life" options to citizens (i.e., sidewalks, walking paths). Upon examination of this particular project list, the District V Commissioner stated he finds no particular benefit to citizens from Fairview to Ola and that this list is specifically geared to improve areas where there are warehouses and semi-trucks. In his opinion, this would not be a good return on investment of the citizens paying the extra penny in sales tax into their communities. While the Commissioner stated he does not know the critical needs of the other four (4) Commission Districts, in his District (5) he knows those critical needs based upon the input received from those residents over the last several years. He asserted that there will not be support in the Fairview area who would vote for T-SPLOST with this project list, nor would anyone living in Ola. While there are some benefits to the incorporated areas, Commissioner Holmes stated that the unincorporated areas of Henry County will be not be adequately served by this list.

Commissioner Clemmons suggested that the wording regarding the \$10 million separated with the five (5) Districts - specifically where it says "Countywide Road Resurfacing, Sidewalks and Intersection Improvements" that "Pedestrian Bridges and Bike Paths" be added. **Chair Harrell** asked for a list of the streets to be resurfaced from the \$27 million allocated and voted on, and listed by District for clarification. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** displayed a list of all of the projects scheduled to be resurfaced this year and into the beginning of next quarter. She went through this list of several roads, by District and noted that there are multiple funding sources

from the Local Maintenance Improvement Grant (LMIG) program to funds leftover from the previous SPLOST programs. Of note, Ms. Hobson-Matthews stated that there are thirty-three (33) roads listed in District III for a total cost of over \$10 million, while there are also 33 roads listed in District IV with a total cost of over \$3 million - both for resurfacing projects.

Commissioner Holmes asked how many square miles covers Henry County; **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** responded it is more than 331 square miles. The District V Commissioner then asked that if the presented list of resurfacing covers 320 square miles; the County Manager responded that it does not because there are a number of roads that do not need to be resurfaced. The Commissioner then asked if there are roads in significant disrepair that need to be resurfaced which is currently not funded for same; Ms. Hobson-Matthews responded there are. When the Commissioner asked for a number, the County Manager responded that it depends; that there is a system in place where all the roads are being rated. In the past, Commissioners have had the ability to determine how to spend the resurfacing funds and that there may have been roads which were resurfaced that did not need to be done. The Commissioner pressed in agreement and added that there are funds from past SPLOST which will fund roads that currently need resurfacing and they are prioritized based upon the amount of damage on a particular road along with the “pressure” being issued by that District’s constituents. Ms. Hobson-Matthews explained that is not how the SPLOST Department allocates roads - which they are graded and that determine how the funds are allocated. Commissioner Holmes further stated that the District’s Commissioner would determine what road(s) need(s) to be resurfaced on the SPLOST list and that is how it has been for years. The Commissioner presented further examples of roads that need to be resurfaced still as yet resurfaced but there is funding to resurface roads primarily in warehouse districts and added that this presentation is not acceptable. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** countered that staff has worked diligently to identify and facilitate roads that need improvement within the county; she agreed that the Commissioners have determined where the funds go. The T-SPLOST list does not give the Commissioners the ability to split the funds 5 ways, it represents a truly Comprehensive Transportation Plan that previous Boards have approved, is based on methods currently in place and the list identifies the priorities - major projects that will give traffic relief which is sorely needed at this point. She further stated that the Commissioner put forth his funding into Capital projects like Village Park and staff was asked to provide the Board with a project list of the much-needed transportation improvements. Ms. Hobson-Matthews clarified that resurfacing a road does not mean the entire road would need resurfacing. The County Manager stood firm that this list as presented represents the best needs of the community and is not “stupid” as asserted by the District V Commissioner earlier in his remarks and voiced her disagreement regarding same. This list was made up using the Comprehensive Transportation Plan.

Commissioner Holmes continued that the reason the SPLOST funds had been evenly divided among the 5 Districts is so that the citizens feel they are getting a return on their investment of the SPLOST tax; this particular list does not reflect that, in his opinion and that the taxpayers in his District (and he suspects others as well) will not vote for this in November, while the County Manager voiced her support to vote in favor of this.

Chair Harrell then called for a vote.

Commissioner Clemmons made a motion to approve; **Commissioner Thomas** seconded the motion.

Chair Harrell declared that discussion has ended and called for vote. **Commissioner Clemmons** asked to make one final remark prior to vote. **Chair Harrell** yielded the floor to the District II Commissioner.

Commissioner Clemmons clarified that this is not to vote upon T-SPLOST today; this is to vote to put T-SPLOST on the ballot in November. She added that the citizens should have the right to use their voice. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** clarified that this particular Resolution is to approve the Intergovernmental Agreement and the vote will be taken at the second meeting in July.

With motion, second and discussion made, the motion was carried by a vote of 5-1-0.

(Chair Harrell, Commissioners Wilson, Clemmons, Cannon and Thomas voted in favor; Commissioner Holmes voted in opposition)

Resolution 21-218

BOARD OF ELECTIONS AND REGISTRATION

Resolution appointing a Committee to Appoint the At-Large Member to the Board of Elections and Registration (Presenter: Patrick Jaugstetter, County Attorney) (Exhibit 20)

Patrick Jaugstetter, County Attorney, presented before the Board after giving a brief overview of the Board of Elections and the appointments of same. He stated that there are five (5) members: Two (2) each appointed by the Republican and Democratic parties; and a 5th member, referred to as Member-at-Large. Mr. Jaugstetter read directly from 2006 law as follows:

The fifth member of the Board shall be appointed by a committee formed for such purpose by the governing authority of Henry County.

Mr. Jaugstetter advised that the pending Resolution forms that Committee and specifies the manner in which the appointments are made to allow each member of this Board of Commissioners to appoint a member to that committee by submitting notice in writing to the County Clerk by July 9, 2021 (Friday). The current Member-at-Large's term has now expired and he continues to serve as a "holdover member". If a new appointment is not made, the current member will continue his duties until such appointment is made. The Resolution is in compliance with law.

Commissioner Holmes asked what the term of service was completed for the current member in question on the Board of Elections; **Mr. Jaugstetter** responded that it could be about 15 years, but is not certain. The District V Commissioner recalls that selection being made during his time as Commissioner, as the County Attorney confirmed that there were two previous - 2013 and 2017 - that the Board of Commissioners (BOC) created a committee. The

Board must appoint a committee but the law does not prohibit the BOC from appointing itself as a committee. The Resolution drafted calls for a committee to be composed of non-elected individuals and who are not subject to election to keep separation between elected officials and a Board of Elections member appointment. The Commissioner did not recall any problems with this in the past, given that the majority of the then-BOC was Republican and a Republican filled that position. Mr. Jaugstetter was not aware of anyone's political affiliation during that time. The Commissioner disagreed and does not understand a need to select a committee when the BOC can be its own committee and appoint a member. Since the majority of the BOC is comprised of Democrats, a Democrat can therefore be appointed to Member-at-Large. Mr. Jaugstetter repeated that the law requires the Commissioners to select a committee. If the Board chooses to appoint itself as a committee, it would require a Resolution reflecting same and then a subsequent Resolution of the committee would be required to appoint the member. Commissioner Holmes suggested proceeding in that manner. In doing such, Mr. Jaugstetter advised that if the Board goes forward with this then a meeting will be scheduled of the approved committee to coincide with the Regular Meeting of the Board. The pending Resolution text states the appointment of an "outside" committee with each member appointed by each Commissioner of the Board.

Chair Harrell asked that if a Commissioner does not want to serve on the committee if the Commissioner can appoint someone else; **Mr. Jaugstetter** explained that they can do that. The Chair asserted that she would not want to serve on this committee; any Commissioners who would want to serve would be able to do so. Mr. Jaugstetter pointed out paragraph 4 of the Resolution on the qualifications for appointment along with prohibitions. One was prohibiting any person who holds local, state or federal elected office. That could be eliminated and amended (section 4b). The Chair agreed that this must move forward; if a Commissioner wishes to self-appoint or appoint someone else, it is within that Commissioner's discretion to do so and they have until Friday, July 9, 2021 to make said appointment.

Commissioner Clemmons recalled that the Commissioners have made the appointment in the past because in 2006, they were not prohibited from being on a committee for appointing. This Resolution has changed from 2006 with the added prohibition. The District II Commissioner noted that it takes this Board out of position to make that appointment which was something they could do in the past. She supports the deletion of that prohibition. **Mr. Jaugstetter** recalled that the last committees appointed only had three (3) members and there have been different ways this was done over the years. If the Board does not approve of the stated provision, they can remove it and then approve.

Commissioner Thomas made a motion to amend the Resolution to remove section 4b and then to approve, as amended. **Mr. Jaugstetter** advised that this Resolution also calls for this committee to meet no later than July 14, 2021; if that date is not agreed upon then it must be amended now prior to voting upon this Resolution.

Commissioner Clemmons specified section 4b (1) and (2) as follows:

4. Qualifications for Appointment

b. Prohibitions. The following shall be prohibited from serving on the Committee:

- i. *Any person who holds any local, state or federal elected office shall serve on the Committee.*
- ii. *Any immediate family member of any person who holds any local, state or federal elected office*

Mr. Jaugstetter confirmed that section 4b would be removed in its entirety prior to vote. **Commissioner Clemmons** also asked that the date be changed from July 14, 2021 to the date of the second meeting in July (July 20, 2021).

Commissioner Thomas repeated her motion to approve the Resolution as amended above, removing section 4b in its entirety along with any other sections which would restrict participation of the Board of Commissioners on this committee and to revise paragraph 6 to change the date from July 14, 2021 to July 20, 2021 (second meeting in July); **Commissioner Clemmons** seconded the motion. The motion carried (6-0-0).

Resolution 21-219

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

(For Discussion/Clarification): Boys & Girls Club Agreement (Presenters: Cheri Hobson-Matthews, County Manager; and Patrick Jaugstetter, County Attorney) (Exhibit 21)

Ms. Hobson-Matthews brought back the approved Resolution from June 2, 2021 with the Boys & Girls Club to get clarification on the intent of payment in the programming years. The club has concerns as the current agreement reads as follows:

The County agrees to authorize the transfer of the prior funds from BCGC (Boys & Girls Club of Central Georgia) to Boys & Girls Club of Metro Atlanta for the 2021 programming year and to further provide funding for the McDonough/Henry County Boys & Girls Club in the amount of \$150,000.

Ms. Hobson-Matthews noted that the paragraph states that funds previously paid by the County would go towards the current 2021 program year, meaning any funds allocated for the current fiscal year would not be paid to the club. The agreement may need to be amended to accurately detail the intent of this Board, if they intended to provide \$150,000 to the club for this current fiscal year in addition to what was already to be transferred from Central Georgia; or if the Board intended for the funds that were transferred from Central Georgia to go towards the 2021 program year. **Chair Harrell** remarked that there is supposed to be 3 years of programming; County Manager affirmed same. The Chair noted they have not opened and asked if there is a start date on this. If the \$150,000 has already been received from Central Georgia then that would start the first programming year. **Mr. Jaugstetter** explained an issue between what the Board approved and what the club wants is how the Central Georgia funds transfer is done. The club has said they need that money for start-up funding, not program year funding. The County would then pay an additional \$150,000 for the first programming year, with another \$150,000 from the County for the second programming year. While Mr. Jaugstetter understood is

that Central Georgia money would pay for programming year #1; County money would then pay for the next 2 programming years. This needs to be clarified.

Chair Harrell asked how the Resolution is written; **Mr. Jaugstetter** responded that the one approved by this BOC states that Central Georgia money is for program year 1; Henry County pays for program years 2 and 3. The BCGC wants it changed to have the Central Georgia money used as start-up and the county pay for 2 programming years. The Chair countered that the agreement is to do 3 years of programming, nothing about operational or start-up. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** asked if this means that Henry County will not provide \$150,000 payment this year, since funds are being transferred from Central Georgia to pay for program year #1; the Chair responded that is how it should be. The County Manager needs to clarify the intent and to cut a check for August 1 of this upcoming year. She understands the Resolution to read that the \$150,000 from Central Georgia is for 2021; another \$150,000 for 2022 and another \$150,000 for 2023 from Henry County. The Chair noted that they have not even opened up yet this year so the programming year should start at the date of the actual opening. She asked if the club will be open in 2021. **Commissioner Clemmons** explained that the amount does not change and the county still owes based on the agreement. The Boys & Girls Club is saying that they expect the next year to be this year. They were expecting the second payment to be paid this year. The District II Commissioner had asked during the budget process if the County Manager had the \$150,000 for this year and was told that the money was there. The club is stating that it needs the second year now and that it was promised. They could not open last year due to COVID-19 and because of that, new protocols had to be put in place for the kids and the \$150,000 from Central Georgia assists in paying for that to help them open; this year's programming money from the County is needed. The club will open the first day of school and all board members of the Metro Atlanta Boys & Girls Club are open to calls. Everything has been done to get ready. The building is getting ready and the county owes the \$150,000 this year based on the approved Resolution from a year ago; but the County responded that it is not paying this year and that the money from Central Georgia will go for this year and therein lays the problem. Ms. Hobson-Matthews stated that the funds are available for this fiscal year. She has the agreement that says differently however and this needs to be cleaned up.

Chair Harrell voiced concern over paying money for a program that has been non-operational and these are taxpayer dollars. She wanted to know why another \$150,000 is being paid for a program that has not even been opened. If the agreement calls for 3 years of programming, the club needs to guarantee the full 3 years of programming and it needs to be stated as such - that it is not for operational costs or opening or start-up costs - it is for 3 years of programming exclusively. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** then stated that the agreement would need to be amended to reflect that the County would be responsible for a total of \$300,000 and the County would require the Boys & Girls Club to open from 2021 thru 2023 - 3 years of programming. In the event that the club does not open or no longer exists, those funds would be repaid to the County - this is to be in the agreement. The Board agreed to provide \$450,000 and it will honor that commitment. The Chair declared that the agreement needs to state that there will be 3 years of programming by the club; **Mr. Jaugstetter** stated he can make that change and pointed out that based upon what he heard from counsel representing the Boys & Girls Club of Metro Atlanta that the club wants \$150,000 every single programming year. They proposed that the Central Georgia funds are for the 2021 programming year. The other 2 programming years

would be from Henry County at \$150,000 per programming year. It would appear that the County will pay for programming years 2 and 3 but the Boys & Girls Club will be open for the 3 full programming years.

Commissioner Thomas asked if any money has been paid yet; **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** responded that \$150,000 was paid to Central Georgia who agreed to transfer that money (paid by the county to the Metro Atlanta chapter) to the club but the club was not open during that paid year. She wanted to know why another payment is going to be made if the services were not performed; **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** stated that is the reason for this matter before the Board. The District IV Commissioner stated that she cannot be accountable for what the Metro Atlanta chapter wants; when a program year is ready to operate then the terms should be honored by both parties. The club should operate for the first program year to cover that paid \$150,000 to them before the County pays another \$150,000 to it for another programming year. If the club wants to take programming money and pay for start-up and operational, etc. money then that is not part of the agreement and that should not be paid by the County. **Mr. Jaugstetter** pointed out that the agreement approved by the BOC in June is still moot since the Boys & Girls Club has not signed it yet. The Central Georgia agreement is resolved when Metro Atlanta and this Board reached agreement because they have to transfer the money; once that is done, it terminates their old agreement. There is no current agreement with Boys & Girls Club of Metro Atlanta as of yet. The agreement is with Boys & Girls Club of Central Georgia. On June 2, 2021 the BOC approved a resolution approving a Grant Award Agreement but the Metro Atlanta chapter has not executed this agreement. **Mr. Jaugstetter** broke it down even further by stating that this Board approved an agreement in June; that agreement was sent to the Metro Atlanta chapter and they did not agree. So, the matter is now whether or not to revise this agreement to conform to what Metro Atlanta wants or whether the County will not change the language on the "June agreement". **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** further explained that the County is not operating the Boys & Girls Club; only contributing funds to the club and the funds are available in the budget but will not be operated or managed by the county at all. **Chair Harrell** noted that the club has not fulfilled its part on the first \$150,000 because there has been no program; therefore either Central Georgia returns the \$150,000 back to Henry County and then Henry County pays that amount to Metro Atlanta or Central Georgia would send that money directly to Metro Atlanta and there would still be the first year programming. There was no agreement that this money would be used for operational or start-up or administrative costs.

Commissioner Holmes asked for an update on the Aquatic Center. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** responded that there has been conversation with the representative and/or the property owner. The property owner had 60 days to convey the property to the county and that has not happened. The next step is to reach out to the family's attorney to determine if they still intend to donate the property; as of today, there has been no further movement other than a survey on the property but the County Manager needs a deed. There is a Request for Proposal (RFP) out and proposals are due back to staff in the next 2 weeks.

Chair Harrell directed the meeting back to the Boys & Girls Club matter. **Commissioner Wilson** remarked that the refund should be directed to the county and the agreement made with Metro Atlanta and not with Central Georgia. **Mr. Jaugstetter** explained that it would leave the same question then with Metro Atlanta. Metro Atlanta wants the first

\$150,000 paid to them immediately and another \$150,000 for the 2021 programming; then they want \$150,000 each year after that. The question is if the initial \$150,000 will pay for the 2021 programming or if it will be for something else; Mr. Jaugstetter is hearing from this Board that it should pay for the 2021 programming year which is stated in the agreement; the next payment is due when the next programming year begins. The District I Commissioner added that the club needs to actually be open before the money is paid. **Commissioner Clemmons** clarified that the agreement needs to be rewritten because the County pledged \$450,000. BCGC wants \$300,000 of that \$450,000 now so that they can open and then they are owed only \$150,000 more after that. They are not asking for any additional over that \$450,000. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** added that the Board has a problem with them not starting the program but wanting to get paid. The District II Commissioner emphasized that this leaves one question: When does the second \$150,000 get paid? The club is asking because of staffing. The Chair directed the County Attorney to include language specifying that the club will commit to the 3 years of programming. Mr. Jaugstetter added that the draft which he received calls for the county to pay \$450,000 for 2 years of programming, which the District II Commissioner corrected, which is not what was sent to them. Mr. Jaugstetter advised that Metro Atlanta rejected what was sent by the County to them. The Commissioner reiterated that it has to say \$450,000 for 3 years of programming and when the second payment will be given. The County Manager responded that is what she needs answered.

Commissioner Clemmons therefore recommended that the agreement be revised and reflect that the county will expect a commitment to 3 years of programming for a payment of \$450,000; Boys & Girls Club will use the \$150,000 it has already received from Central Georgia and the second payment of \$150,000 will be paid sixty (60) days after the club is opened and operating. **Mr. Jaugstetter** further stated that the first \$150,000 would go immediately from Central Georgia; the second payment of \$150,000 would be paid 60 days after the club is opened; the third and final payment would be due 1 year after the previous payment but that third payment would only be during the club's 2nd year of operation and they will have to operate the 3rd year without another check. In year 3, the club will ask for money but the county will assert that it was paid a year in advance and therein lays a potential problem. The District II Commissioner suggested that in the 3rd year they come before the Board and ask; based on their performance and whether the Board at that time desires to continue the agreement and then the decision can be made then. The Chair contended that the club would still owe the county a 3-year program of operation; if they come back and ask for another payment, then that payment would be for a 4th year of programming, not for a 3rd year.

Commissioner Wilson suggested that they operate the first 2 years off of the payment coming to them in 60 days from opening. How they fund that gap of year 1 and 3 is not the county's problem. **Ms. Hobson-Matthews** responded that is different. **Commissioner Clemmons** recommended tabling this and talking to the executive staff at Boys & Girls Club as she was told that if the club does not get \$150,000 each year they cannot open. The District II Commissioner urged the BOC to talk to the Board for the Boys & Girls Club and ask for a detailed accounting of why they need the money before voting on this matter. The County Manager suggested that the Executive Director of Boys & Girls Club come to the next meeting and present to the Board and clear up a lot of confusion. The Chair stated that \$150,000 was paid for an agreement that was not in effect, according to Mr. Jaugstetter; therefore, that money

should come back until an agreement is in place. Ms. Hobson-Matthews stated that the county can request Central Georgia to return the \$150,000 but that will not answer the question because that \$150,000 will be sent to Metro Atlanta. Metro Atlanta wants \$150,000 from Henry County this year. The Chair emphatically stated that \$150,000 was given to Central Georgia and that cannot be forgotten. The County Manager understands that the club will be given \$150,000 in 60 days from their date of opening or they will not be given the \$150,000. The intent of the Board must be made so that Mr. Jaugstetter can revise the agreement to reflect same and present it to Metro Atlanta for review. This is causing great confusion. Chair Harrell again asked if the county can get the \$150,000 back from Central Georgia; Ms. Hobson-Matthews responded that the county can ask for it. Mr. Jaugstetter further explained that the issue is if the money paid to Central Georgia counts as year 1 program funding ahead of opening for year 1 programming. The agreement approved by the Board stated that the Central Georgia money is for 2021 program year. The county pays for the 2022 program year and pays for the 2023 program year (total of 3 years). Metro Atlanta declined and wanted \$150,000 from Central Georgia today and to have Henry County pay for the 2021 program year and that is what their agreement said.

Commissioner Clemmons asserted that the approved agreement from June says 3 years of programming; **Mr. Jaugstetter** countered that there is no agreement until it is signed by all parties. Boys & Girls Club of Metro Atlanta is demanding that the county write a new check for \$150,000 for every single year and not that the Central Georgia money applies to a year and that it would not count to any program year.

Commissioner Cannon remarked that the original commitment from Henry County was for \$450,000; **Mr. Jaugstetter** responded it still is the same. The District III Commissioner suggested getting the \$150,000 back, sending the club \$150,000; in a year send another \$150,000 and the third year pay \$150,000 for the committed total of \$450,000. Mr. Jaugstetter responded that the Metro Atlanta rejects that offer. He can provide a couple of revised drafts and circulate it with the attorneys for Metro Atlanta for their review to see if one of those works.

Chair Harrell *tabled this item. No action was taken.*

STORMWATER

An Ordinance by the Henry County Board of Commissioners amending Section 8.04.02.E "Stormwater Management Plan Requirements" of the Code of Henry County to require fencing around permitted Stormwater Management Ponds (Presenter: Patrick Jaugstetter, County Attorney)(Exhibit 22)

Mr. Jaugstetter drafted this at the request of the Stormwater Department to amend the above-mentioned section, to require fencing around all detention ponds that hold more than four (4') feet of water during a rain event.

Alex Mohajer, Stormwater Director, explained that there is no specified material on the fencing. **Commissioner Wilson** asked what would happen if someone put in an application to install a pool which would typically be 8' deep and the county would require a 4' fence around the pool. The retention ponds would require 6' fence which now presents two (2) different

Ordinances. For the properties with creeks and ponds, the Commissioner asked if fencing would also be required around ponds and creeks that are already on the property. This creates confusion. Mr. Mohajer compared a retention pond with a swimming pool and explained that the Ordinance is for building and development which require 4' fencing around a swimming pool. A swimming pool is a regulated man-made structure with a concrete bottom with steps, ramps or ladders. He explained a couple of characteristics of a retention pond that could be classified as hazardous, thus requiring fencing around it. He has experiencing in the consulting and county side of designing these types of ponds and has never seen a detention/retention pond without a fence. This Ordinance requires 6' fence when a permit is given for a retention/detention pond. The Commissioner asked how many retention ponds the County currently has on county property; Mr. Mohajer stated he could get that number and within the next month there will be a total inventory of all structures including ponds (county and/or privately owned) and condition assessments as well. The District I Commissioner asked if the intent is to fence all the county's retention ponds. Mr. Mohajer responded that if it is owned by the county and is not fenced, the fencing would be installed. Commissioner Wilson then asked for the cost of this, suggesting that the county complete its fencing before allowing new developments to come in. Aluminum wrought iron was used in a similar city where Mr. Mohajer had consulted and that led to expensive cost for the materials but it was accepted and approved by the city's council.

Commissioner Thomas stated that this Ordinance is out of date and she asked Stormwater to take a look at updating this with a potential of saving lives. She has now required developers to make the detention ponds environmentally friendly in District IV. While a swimming pool in the District is generally managed by an HOA, the detention ponds are not. She requests that chain link fencing be used and not wood fencing. The fences should be a minimum of 6' in height. She believes that the county should lead by example and fence these ponds in and act responsibly and the developers must know that it must be followed when they come to build in the county.

Commissioner Clemmons asked if the materials must be chain link or wood, or if it has to be chain link, as this could cause aesthetic issues. **Commissioner Thomas** stated that there should be language specific to any wood material fencing as being part of the appearance and character of whatever the fencing is for the resident nearby. The District II Commissioner stated that HOA would require upkeep from the residents and homeowners with a retention pond on their property should be given a choice of wood or chain link and keep in with the appearance of their personal property fencing. **Mr. Jaugstetter** explained that some of these ponds not on private property will be less likely explored by a child if it is properly fenced with chain link instead of wood. **Mr. Mohajer** added that Stormwater conducts pond inspections and look inside for cutting, maintaining, repair or fixing of the enclosures. Notices are usually given if there is an issue.

Commissioner Wilson cited Section 1, paragraph 10(e), he asked for the intent. **Mr. Jaugstetter** responded that (a) through (d) provides for the installation of the fence on a new pond, while section 10(e) states that existing ponds must be brought into compliance with a fence within twelve (12) months of the date of the adoption of the Ordinance. This may not be sufficient time for the ponds to be fenced. It may need to be extended or there may not be a time limit, if the Board chooses. He provided a couple of provisos that could be an issue and after

consulting Code Enforcement, he was told that there would be no liability if there is no fencing on a private property existing pond, all in or part of, a private property (or shared between another property). Unfortunately, a lot of neighborhoods with ponds do not have a HOA and cannot afford to properly fence. In the absence of that, the owner of that property where the pond is located may have to bear the expense of fencing it and it would be difficult to enforce but it would be necessary going forward.

Commissioner Clemmons advised that she must recuse herself from this matter as this Ordinance would enforce existing ponds to come up to Code within a specified amount of time at the homeowner's cost. She is a homeowner with a pond on her property and asked to be recused.

COMMISSIONER CLEMMONS LEFT THE MEETING AFTER RECUSAL

Commissioner Wilson continued that the retention pond would hold 4' of water or greater and asked if it would be easier to make them less than 4'. **Mr. Mohajer** responded that in the early 90s he was part of the design team for the Georgia Design Manual, adopted in 2003, with all municipalities going forward with detention ponds being designed as a fraction of the size of existing ponds. Based on the requirements of the Clean Water Act, these requirements were implemented in the design of the new ponds and they eventually grew. He then explained the details of water quality testing and maintenance after rain events.

Commissioner Thomas noted that Section 10(e) should come out and homeowners should not be penalized in retrospect because of something that was in Code in the late 90s or early 2000s. The intent of this Ordinance is to go forward and looking at the growth and development in Henry County to bring the ponds up to Code going forward, not to go back 20-30 years and then put fencing around those ponds.

Mr. Jaugstetter advised that if a motion is made on this, it should include whether or not there should be limitations on materials for the fence and if it will apply to existing ponds. **Chair Harrell** then asked if existing ponds are excluded then it still presents safety hazards. He added Section 10(e) to address this, but it is the Board's ultimate decision.

Commissioner Wilson asked how many ponds are maintained by Stormwater throughout the county; **Mr. Mohajer** estimated about 300 and some are on private property. They would be maintained for growth cutting.

Commissioner Thomas made a motion to remove Section 10(e) in favor of improving ponds for new developers and new builders going forward, with no requirement for existing ponds; with a further addition that if the ponds are on private property, there be an option to use wood material for fencing for private homeowner properties only - otherwise, the fencing would be chain link. **Chair Harrell** seconded the motion. The motion failed by a vote of 2-3-1.

(Chair Harrell and Commissioner Thomas voted in favor; Commissioner Wilson, Commissioner Cannon, and Commissioner Holmes voted in opposition; Commissioner Clemmons abstained by recusal)

COMMISSIONER CLEMMONS RETURNED TO THE MEETING

PLANNING & ZONING

Public Hearing ULDC-AM-21-04

Ordinance by Henry County, Georgia, to add, delete, or modify multiple sections of the Henry County Code of Ordinances including the Unified Land Development Code (ULDC) regarding the administration of development regulations in Henry County and for other purposes. Countywide (Exhibit 23)

Mr. Jordan-Rudeseal went through the recommendations for the Board. These include:

1. Unlimited Maximum Height for Manufacturing Districts
2. Additional Townhome Standards
3. Clarified Signage Standards
4. Removal of City of Hampton from the ZAB Seat
5. Limit on Net Density for Watershed Protection Areas
6. Public Hearing Signs
7. IAC Removal

He provided details on each of these items for the Board and why they are included in the Ordinance request. He noted that the townhomes are more in-depth and asked that this be the first in a series of ULDC amendments that would be brought in on the morning meetings as the ULDC gets updated.

Chair Harrell called for anyone wishing to speak in favor of this request or in opposition of this request. A collective amount of ten (10) minutes will be allowed.

Corey Deal, Greater Atlanta Home Builders Association, agreed that townhome standards are needed and wanted to have a talk with Planning & Zoning and the Board to clear up a few things in the language; he asked for a delay in approving this until such conversation could be had.

Chris Knight, Dan Ryan Builders, remarked that he has had no time to provide input in implementing these types of standards. He is not opposed but would like more time to investigate further. He asked that they be included in a type of Workshop as the ULDC gets updated in sections in the future. He feels these standards proposed are difficult to construct in many cases, but the material stipulations are agreeable. He did explain an issue with the townhome width and family dwelling rear-load garages, among other things. He asked that this proposal be removed or tabled until they have an opportunity to participate and provide input in a mutual meeting.

Tiffany Hogan, D. R. Horton, also asked to be included in the meeting to discuss practical implications of any changes to be made to the Ordinance and that this be placed on hold until such meeting is held and they can participate.

Chair Harrell called for this matter to be tabled until the BOC meets with staff and perhaps get a meeting together to get builder/developer input as well as staff input/recommendations. **Mr. Jordan-Rudeseal** stated that staff has no objection and noted that the point of contention seems to be the townhome standards. If the Board wishes to vote on the rest of the changes, excluding the townhome standards, that would be fine. The Chair recommended tabling the Ordinance in its entirety for further review and discussion. **Commissioner Thomas** seconded the motion. Mr. Jordan-Rudeseal stated that a Workshop would have to be set up to reach out to the community; the Commissioners should be included on same. **Commissioner Holmes** noted that he does not support this at all.

Commissioner Clemmons asked that when there are amendments like this before the Board, that the existing Ordinance language be included and marked through with the new language so that the Commissioners can see what the changes would look like and how it is different. She voiced her concern about the truck stop, day care centers, certain commercial zoned properties as well as the townhome standards. **Mr. Jordan-Rudeseal** responded that the Ordinance was included in “track change” format which showed the original language and the proposed modified language.

The item was tabled. *No action was taken.*

Chair Harrell directed the County Clerk to pass out booklets to the Commissioners and directed Mr. Smith to come up and read the names of the non-profits that will receive the grant awards and their amounts to be received:

- Berean Christian Church \$105,525
- Connecting Henry \$278,323 (revised)
- Dream Center of Henry County \$25,386
- Global Impact Christian Ministries \$105,525
- Grace Baptist Church of Georgia \$313,000
- Light of the World Christian Tabernacle \$118,188
- Miracle Mission Hope House \$102,763
- Samaritans Together \$70,350

PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were none.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Commissioner Clemmons made a motion to approve the Minutes from *April 19, 2021*; **Commissioner Thomas** seconded the motion. The motion carried (6-0-0).

UPCOMING MEETINGS & EVENTS

- A. (Millage Hearings): (1) July 20, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. is a Called Meeting for a Public Hearing; (2) July 20, 2021 at 6:30 p.m. is a Public Hearing to be held during the Regularly Scheduled BOC Meeting; and (3) July 27, 2021 at 10:00 a.m. is a Called Meeting for a Public Hearing and Adoption of the Millage
- B. August 3, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. is a regularly scheduled BOC Meeting

Chair Harrell did announce this is Race Weekend at Atlanta Motor Speedway and welcomed all NASCAR fans; Henry County continues to celebrate its bicentennial with activities planned that are listed on the county website.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business at this time, **Chair Harrell** adjourned the meeting. ***Meeting adjourned at 12:11 p.m.***

Carlotta Harrell, Chair

Stephanie Braun, County Clerk